

NASA TECHNICAL NOTE

NASA TN D-3020



NASA TN D-3020

C.1

LOAN COPY: RETU
AFWL (WLIL-2)
KIRTLAND AFB, N

0079898



TECH LIBRARY KAFB, NM

EQUATION OF STATE OF MATTER AT SUPERNUCLEAR DENSITY

by E. R. Harrison

*Goddard Space Flight Center
Greenbelt, Md.*





0079898

NASA TN D-3020

EQUATION OF STATE OF MATTER AT
SUPERNUCLEAR DENSITY

By E. R. Harrison

Goddard Space Flight Center
Greenbelt, Md.

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

For sale by the Clearinghouse for Federal Scientific and Technical Information
Springfield, Virginia 22151 - Price \$1.00

EQUATION OF STATE OF MATTER AT SUPERNUCLEAR DENSITY

by

E. R. Harrison

Goddard Space Flight Center

SUMMARY

Using a vector meson model, Zel'dovitch has shown that at very high densities, the pressure tends to equal ϵ , where ϵ is the energy density. By modifying Zel'dovitch's model to avoid certain unrealistic simplifications, and by assuming that the higher masses of the meson hierarchy progressively predominate in the interactions as the density increases, it is shown that the pressure, in fact, tends to equal $\epsilon/3$.

EQUATION OF STATE OF MATTER AT SUPERNUCLEAR DENSITY

by

E. R. Harrison*

Goddard Space Flight Center

We shall consider briefly the possible nature of the equation of state of matter at supernuclear density. This is of interest in such subjects as cosmology and gravitational collapse. By supernuclear density we mean a density exceeding that of ordinary nuclear matter. Since nucleons in nuclei are spaced approximately 1 fermi apart, we have in mind proper densities of $\rho > 10^{15} \text{ gm cm}^{-3}$. Zel'dovitch (Reference 1) has shown on the basis of a vector meson model that as the supernuclear density increases in an isotropic fluid, the pressure tends to equal the energy density. Our aim is to show that it is unlikely that Zel'dovitch's conclusion is true.

The equation of state can be expressed in the form

$$p = (\nu - 1) \epsilon \quad (1)$$

where p is the pressure and $\epsilon = \rho c^2$ is the energy density. Both p and ν are matrices which can be diagonalized for macroscopic elements of fluid. For a photon or neutrino gas, in which the particles have zero rest mass,

$$\nu = \frac{q + 1}{q} \quad (2)$$

where $q = 1, 2$, or 3 is the number of spatial degrees of freedom. When the gas is isotropic, $q = 3$, and therefore according to Equation 2, $\nu = 4/3$, and $\rho = \epsilon/3$. For a gas containing a class of particles of energy $E_i = \gamma_i m_i c^2$, when m_i is their rest mass and γ_i is the ratio of energy to rest energy,

$$\nu_i = \frac{\gamma_i^2 (q + 1) - 1}{q \gamma_i^2} \quad (3)$$

*National Academy of Sciences – National Research Council, Resident Research Associate, on leave from the Rutherford High Energy Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, Berkshire, England.

if the interaction energy is ignored. By regarding the energy contribution from the various interaction fields as a gas of real and virtual bosons, the effective value of ν for the fluid can be evaluated by integrating Equation 3 over the various distribution functions of the particles. Since $\nu_i \leq (q+1)/q$ for all classes of particles we have, in general,

$$1 \leq \nu \leq \frac{q+1}{q} \quad (4)$$

for all fluids. The lower limit is for a gas of zero pressure and the upper limit is for a gas containing only particles of zero rest mass. It is also noticed that the velocity of sound is

$$v_s = c \left(\frac{dp}{d\epsilon} \right)^{1/2} = c(\nu-1)^{1/2} \leq cq^{-1/2} \quad (5)$$

in the appropriate direction, and has its maximum value of $v_s = c$ at $q = 1$, as one would expect. In an isotropic gas, which is of main interest, Equation 4 becomes $1 \leq \nu \leq 4/3$ and therefore $p < \epsilon/3$, and gives $v_s < (c/3)^{1/2}$.

In spite of the complexity of the particle states at supernuclear density, our classical picture indicates that $1 \leq \nu \leq 4/3$ must still hold true in an isotropic fluid. It would also seem reasonable to suppose that at supernuclear density ν has a value close to $4/3$. Using the adiabatic relativistic equation

$$d(\epsilon V) + p dV = 0 \quad (6)$$

for a variable volume V , we have from Equation 1 that

$$\left(\frac{d}{dV} + \frac{\nu-1}{V} \right) \epsilon V = 0. \quad (7)$$

Assuming ν is constant in a given density range, it follows that

$$\epsilon \propto V^{-\nu} \quad (8)$$

and if ν has a value close to $4/3$, then $\epsilon \propto V^{-4/3}$, as in a relativistic ideal gas.

The relatively simple picture outlined above has been thrown into a state of confusion by Zel'dovitch's (Reference 1) suggestion that strong interactions increase the value of ν , and that in the limit of high density in an isotropic fluid, $\nu \rightarrow 2$, and therefore $p \rightarrow \epsilon$ and $v_s \rightarrow c$. It is argued that the range of variation of ν is $1 \leq \nu \leq 2$, and not $1 \leq \nu \leq 4/3$ as given by the previous argument. Anisotropy in such a fluid is now impossible, as this would imply that the velocity of sound could exceed the velocity of light. Zel'dovitch's suggestion is based on a simple model in which stationary baryons interact through a vector meson field.

The Proca equations (Reference 2) for a vector meson (unit-spin) field are

$$\nabla^2 \vec{A} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial t^2} - \lambda^{-2} \vec{A} + \frac{4\pi}{c} \vec{j} = 0 \quad (9a)$$

$$\nabla^2 \Phi - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial t^2} - \lambda^{-2} \Phi + 4\pi\rho_e = 0 \quad (9b)$$

with the gauge condition

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{A} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} = 0 \quad (9c)$$

where \vec{A} and Φ are the potentials and \vec{j} and ρ_e are the current and charge densities. These Lorentz invariant equations are for "hyperphotons" (vector mesons) of rest mass $\mu = \hbar/\lambda c$. When $\mu = 0$, they reduce to Maxwell's equations for photons of zero rest mass. Zel'dovitch assumes static conditions and the Proca equations therefore become

$$\nabla^2 \Phi - \lambda^{-2} \Phi = 0. \quad (10)$$

Hence, if $g^2/\hbar c$ is the coupling constant, where g is the baryon "charge", the solution is the Yukawa-type potential

$$\Phi = g r^{-1} e^{-r/\lambda}. \quad (11)$$

Zel'dovitch then shows that if there are n baryons per unit volume and m is the baryon mass, the energy per baryon is

$$E = mc^2 + \frac{1}{2} g^2 n \int r^{-1} e^{-r/\lambda} dV = mc^2 + 2\pi g^2 n \lambda^2$$

and the energy density is therefore

$$\epsilon = nmc^2 + 2\pi g^2 n^2 \lambda^2. \quad (12)$$

If it is assumed that the range λ of the interaction is independent of density, it follows from Equation 6 that the pressure is

$$p = - \frac{d}{dV} (\epsilon V) = 2\pi g^2 n^2 \lambda^2. \quad (13)$$

Comparing Equations 12 and 13, it is seen that in the limit of high density we have $p \rightarrow \epsilon$, and according to Equation 1, ν therefore approaches a value of 2. The assumption that the potential (Equation 11) is density-independent means that the range λ of the interaction at high densities is large compared with the interbaryon distance. The interaction therefore tends to become long-range and collective rather than short-range, thus accounting for ν approaching the value of 2.

The following comments must be made regarding Zel'dovitch's treatment: At supernuclear densities, it is physically unrealistic to ignore the high energy of the baryons imposed by the exclusion principle. In ordinary nuclear matter, the ideal gas laws provide a good starting point for calculating the energy shift (References 3 and 4) and potential functions are employed to obtain improved approximations. When the internuclear distance is less than the nucleon Compton wavelength, or $\rho > \sim 10^{17} \text{ gm cm}^{-3}$, the idea of stationary baryons is completely unacceptable since the nucleons now have energies exceeding 1 GeV and there is copious production, among other things, of nucleons and hyperons (including their antiparticles). A further unsatisfactory feature of the model is that it singles out unit-spin mesons and neglects the rest of the meson multiplets.

Let us, however, ignore the unsatisfactory nature of Zel'dovitch's model and accept the potential (Equation 11) as a crude phenomenological description of short-range interactions. As the density increases (and the available energy involved in the interactions also increases), the higher masses of the meson hierarchy will progressively predominate in the interactions. In effect, λ will get smaller and the short-range character of strong interactions will be preserved. Thus, the potential (Equation 11) can no longer be regarded as density independent. As an example, Levy (Reference 5) points out that the higher order potentials behave as $\exp(-x\tau/\lambda)$ and involve the exchange of π mesons. It is to be expected that the range of the predominant interaction is comparable with the interbaryon distance, and therefore λ is related to density by an expression of the kind $\lambda = \alpha n^{-1/3}$, where α is of the order unity. Equations 12 and 13 now become

$$\epsilon = nmc^2 + 2\pi g^2 \alpha^2 n^{4/3} \quad (14)$$

$$p = \frac{2\pi}{3} g^2 \alpha^2 n^{4/3} \quad (15)$$

and these equations have the merit that they yield the physically acceptable result that ν has a maximum value of 4/3.

Despite the complexity of the interactions in matter at supernuclear density, it is unlikely that our earlier arguments concerning the maximum possible value of ν are in error. Although Zel'dovitch's model possesses several unacceptable features, it is nevertheless interesting to notice that if it is applied in a slightly more realistic manner, then the maximum possible value of ν in an isotropic fluid is also 4/3.

REFERENCES

1. Zel'dovitch, Ya. B., "Equation of State at Ultra-High Densities and its Relativistic Limitations," *Zh. Eksper. i Teor. Fiz.* (USSR), 41(5(11)):1609-1615, November 1961; Translation in: *Sov. Phys.-JETP* 41(5):1143-1147, May 1962.
2. Morse, P. M., and Feshbach, H., *Methods of Theoretical Physics*, New York: McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., 1953: 221, 271.
3. Weisskopf, V. F., "Compound Nucleus and Nuclear Resonances," *Helv. Phys. Acta*, 23(1-2): 187-200, 1950.
4. Bell, J. S., and Squires, E. J., "The Theory of Nuclear Matter," *Advances in Phys.* 10:211-312, July 1961.
5. Levy, M. M., "Meson Theory of Nuclear Forces and Low Energy Properties of the Neutron-Proton System," *Phys. Rev.* 88:725-739, November 15, 1952.

3/18/25
03

"The aeronautical and space activities of the United States shall be conducted so as to contribute . . . to the expansion of human knowledge of phenomena in the atmosphere and space. The Administration shall provide for the widest practicable and appropriate dissemination of information concerning its activities and the results thereof."

—NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ACT OF 1958

NASA SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS

TECHNICAL REPORTS: Scientific and technical information considered important, complete, and a lasting contribution to existing knowledge.

TECHNICAL NOTES: Information less broad in scope but nevertheless of importance as a contribution to existing knowledge.

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUMS: Information receiving limited distribution because of preliminary data, security classification, or other reasons.

CONTRACTOR REPORTS: Technical information generated in connection with a NASA contract or grant and released under NASA auspices.

TECHNICAL TRANSLATIONS: Information published in a foreign language considered to merit NASA distribution in English.

TECHNICAL REPRINTS: Information derived from NASA activities and initially published in the form of journal articles.

SPECIAL PUBLICATIONS: Information derived from or of value to NASA activities but not necessarily reporting the results of individual NASA-programmed scientific efforts. Publications include conference proceedings, monographs, data compilations, handbooks, sourcebooks, and special bibliographies.

Details on the availability of these publications may be obtained from:

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION
NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION
Washington, D.C. 20546